1	A. Okay.
Ž	Q. Have you ever seen this document before, marked
3	Exhibit 3?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Can you tell me what that is?
6	A. This document lists some questions that the
7	president, Takeshi, and Mr. Fukuda had with regard to
8	the new companies that had been created pursuant to the
9	instructions of the president.
10	Q. And the new companies that this is talking
11	about are the management companies; is that correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And Mr. Fukuda says that he discussed these
14	matters with the president and with Takeshi; is that
15	correct, at the top?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. So there was according to number two, there
18	was a question about or a concern that your name was in
19	the public record for the management companies; is that

21 A. Yes.

correct?

20

22

23

24

25

Q. And it says something about wanting to avoid having the Kinoshita name in the public record; is that right?

A. Yes.

RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS Honolulu, Hawaii (808) 524-2090



properties of which we had no plans to sell. 1

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 For all six properties, I think for Diamond Q. Head Beach Hotel, Queen Kapiolani, Ocean Resort and then 3 the three golf courses, do you remember what the total 4 for the termination fees was if, for example, you had to 5 pay them all, or Sports Shinko had to pay them all, do 6 you remember the grand total?
 - I believe it was either 3.5 million or Α. 4.5 million.
 - I think it's 3.5. I think that's right. Mr. Fukuda when he said he was going to, if the other listed properties were sold, move those termination fees to Mililani and Queen Kapiolani, what he meant by that, as you understood it, was that that 3.5 million in termination fees would be on only those two properties or shifted into those two agreements with RMS; is that right?
 - Well, I suppose so, however, looking at the big picture, this was all hypothetical and was my understanding that no matter what anybody else said, it would ultimately be the president who would make the call.
 - As a hypothetical, even as a hypothetical, did you think that seemed reasonable at that time to shift all the termination fees for the other contracts to just

RALPH ROSENBERG COURT REPORTERS Honolulu, Hawaii (808) 524-2090

```
BY MR. MELCHINGER:
```

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So do you know if Mr. Fukuda or Mr. Kinoshita asked Mr. Nishida to sign these contracts for RMS as they were, without negotiation, for example?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Mr. Nishida had been an officer at some of the Sports Shinko entities; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What was his relationship like, Mr. Nishida's relationship, with the president?
- A. Until the time that Mr. Nishida went off on his own, their relationship was one of president/employee.
- Q. Did Mr. Nishida ever do work specifically for the president, either in Hawaii or in Japan, sort of as a special executive assistant, for example?
 - A. A long time ago he used to be the secretary to the president over in Japan.
 - Q. Do you know when that was, about?
 - A. Well, let's see, that was when I was still a university student, so between, say, 1980 and 1985.
 - Q. So, I'm sorry, is that how long or that is that -- well, do you mean for five years he was acting as secretary; is that right?
 - A. I don't recall exactly as I sit here today, but he did serve as secretary to the president for a few

years in or around that time frame. I don't recall how many years it was.

- Q. Did you have any discussion with either Mr. Mukai, the president, or Mr. Fukuda about the legal affect the RMS management agreements would have after they were entered?
 - A. I did receive advice from Mr. Mukai.
 - Q. What was that advice?

1.8

- A. I can recall a few things as I sit here today. First of all, with regard to Mr. Nishida, Mr. Mukai advised me that although Mr. Nishida was the president of a small company, he nevertheless was now the president of a separate and independent company and, therefore, he had the full authority that that office carries with it. And so I was told by Mr. Mukai that I would need to forget the relationship that I once had with Mr. Nishida, that of being me as vice president, him as general manager, and I must now interact with him as the president of a company, which he was, similar to the way that Mr. Kinoshita was the president of our company.
- Q. Okay. Anything else? I think you said there were a few things.
- A. In addition, Mr. Mukai told me that since
 Resort Management was a separate and independent company

1 Another purpose would have been that we needed to delegate responsibility for the management to 2 3 someone because there was a plan for me to return to Japan to work there. 4 Okay. Anything else? 5 In addition to that, another purpose would have 6 7 been to enable me to focus more closely on the sale of 8 the business facilities. 9 Okay. Is there any purpose as concerned either 10 Sports Shinko Japan's lenders, their lending banks for RCC? 11 12 I have no knowledge -- excuse me, I don't know. At all. 13 14 THE INTERPRETER: Let me clean that up. don't know at all." 15 16 MR. MELCHINGER: What number are we on now? THE REPORTER: We're on 27. 17 18 MR. MELCHINGER: Mark that 27, please. (Exhibit 27 marked for identification.) 19 BY MR. MELCHINGER: 20 21 Showing you what's been marked Exhibit 27 to 22 your deposition. After you've had a chance to look at 23 that, and particularly, the e-mail on the very top of

the first page, can you tell me whether you recognize

24

25

this?

```
1
              MR. MELCHINGER: I'll clarify for the record, I
 2
     think this got copied with my star mark on there on the
 3
     right-hand column, so that's my mark.
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
 4
     BY MR. MELCHINGER:
 5
 6
              So you recognize this e-mail?
 7
         Α.
              Yes.
 8
              Is this an e-mail that you sent to Mr. Fukuda
9
     on or around January 16, 2001?
10
         Α.
              Yes.
              And does it report something about Mr. Mukai
11
     and the president to Mr. Fukuda there in the second
12
13
     paragraph?
14
         Α.
              Yes.
              And what is that? What did you report to
15
     Mr. Fukuda?
16
              Mr. Mukai's comments, his comments regarding
17
     the affect of having created the management company.
18
         Q. And what was that affect, according to
19
     Mr. Mukai?
20
              That it had made it extremely difficult for RCC
21
         Α.
22
     to lien the business facilities in Hawaii.
              By lien, you mean attach?
23
         Q.
              I'm not too sure of the technical expression
24
```

25

there.

THE INTERPRETER: Maybe I should clear this up, there's many choices of words, right, and lien is an attachment.

MR. MELCHINGER: Okay.

BY MR. MELCHINGER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And what was, as you understood it and you reported it to Mr. Fukuda, what was the president's reaction to Mr. Mukai's comment?
- In this e-mail here, I was communicating to Mr. Fukuda regarding the substance of a meeting between Mr. Mukai and the president, things that they were working on. So it's not that I was communicating this to the president of the company. Rather, I was reporting to Mr. Fukuda regarding Mr. Mukai and the president.
- So the basis for this e-mail is a meeting between Mr. Mukai and the president; is that right, then?
- Α. Yes.
 - Were you present at this meeting? Q.
- Α. No.
 - So how did you hear about this, afterwards? Q.
 - Α. Looking at this report, yes, that does appear to be the case. Mr. Mukai and the president had a meeting either in person or on the telephone and then

ż

subsequent to that, I had a meeting with Mr. Mukai at which time he shared with me what had been discussed between him and the president. And I, in turn here, was reporting that to Mr. Fukuda.

- Q. And as you noted, Mr. Mukai made a comment about the affect of establishing the RMS, right, that would make it hard to lien -- hard for RCC to lien the Hawaii properties; is that right?
- A. No. Actually, what Mr. Mukai communicated to me was that president Kinoshita was extremely happy that RMS had been established because it had made it difficult for RCC to lien the Hawaii business facilities, and Mr. Kinoshita apparently asked Mr. Mukai to work on establishing some sort of similar arrangement to the RMS arrangement in Japan.
- Q. Was one of the purposes for the management contracts and creating the management companies to make it difficult for RCC to place liens on Hawaii properties?

THE INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, can I have that question one more time.

THE WITNESS: I had no idea about that. If you look to the next paragraph under the paragraph that you, Mr. Melchinger, have placed an asterisk next to, I go on to write to Mr. Fukuda that if, in fact, it had become

```
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT
 1
 2
                           STATE OF HAWAII
 3
 4
     SPORTS SHINKO (USA) CO., LTD., a Delaware
     Corporation; SPORTS SHINKO (MILILANI)
 5
 6
     CO., LTD., a Hawaii corporation, et al.,
 7
                Plaintiff,
 8
          vs.
                          Case No. 02-1-2766-11 (EEH)
 9
     RESORT MANAGEMENT SERVICES
10
     (HAWAII), INC., a Hawaii corporation,
11
     YASUO NISHIDA, SATOSHI KINOSHITA, et al.
12
               Defendants.
13
14
15
                  DEPOSITION OF SATOSHI KINOSHITA
16
                             (Volume I)
17
18
     Taken on behalf of the Plaintiff at Alston Hunt Floyd &
19
     Ing, 1001 Bishop St., ASB Tower, 18th Floor, Honolulu,
     Hawaii 96813, commencing at 9:08 a.m., Tuesday, April
20
21
     19, 2005, pursuant to Notice.
22
     BEFORE: BARBARA ACOBA, CSR No. 412, RPR
23
24
               Notary Public, State of Hawaii
25
```

```
1
              IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT
 2
                           STATE OF HAWAII
 3
      SPORTS SHINKO (USA) CO., LTD., a Delaware
      Corporation; SPORTS SHINKO (MILILANI)
 5
 6
      CO., LTD., a Hawaii corporation, et al.,
 7
                Plaintiff,
 8
           VS.
                        Case No. 02-1-2766-11 (EEH)
     RESORT MANAGEMENT SERVICES
 9
10
      (HAWAII), INC., a Hawaii corporation,
     YASUO NISHIDA, SATOSHI KINOSHITA, et al.
11
12
                Defendants.
13
14
15
                  DEPOSITION OF SATOSHI KINOSHITA
16
                             (Volume II)
17
18
     Taken on behalf of the Plaintiff at Alston Hunt Floyd &
19
     Ing, 1001 Bishop St., ASB Tower, 18th Floor, Honolulu,
20
     Hawaii 96813, commencing at 9:04 a.m., Wednesday, April
21
     20, 2005, pursuant to Notice.
22
23
     BEFORE:
               BARBARA ACOBA, CSR No. 412, RPR
24
               Notary Public, State of Hawaii
25
```

```
1
      APPEARANCES:
      For Plaintiff: GLENN MELCHINGER, Esq.
 2
 3
                          ALSTON HUNT FLOYD & ING
                          ASB Tower
 5
                          1001 Bishop St., 18th Floor
 6
                          Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
 7
 8
      For Defendant SATOSHI KINOSHITA:
 9
                          JOHN KOMEIJI, Esq.
10
                          WATANABE ING KAWASHIMA & KOMEIJI
11
                          First Hawaiian Center
12
                          999 Bishop St., 23rd Floor
13
                          Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
14
15
     Also Present: STEVEN SILVER - Interpreter
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF HAWAII

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

I, BARBARA ACOBA, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, State of Hawaii, do hereby certify:

That on Wednesday, April 20, 2005, at 9:04 a.m., appeared before me SATOSHI KINOSHITA, the witness whose deposition is contained herein; that prior to being examined he was by me duly sworn;

That the deposition was taken down by me in machine shorthand and was thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; that the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter.

I further certify that I am not an attorney for any of the parties hereto, nor in any way concerned with the cause.

Dated this 30th day of April, 2005, in Honolulu, Hawaii.

21

BARBARA ACOBA, CSR NO. 412

Notary Public, State of Hawaii

My Commission Exp: 10-22-2008